UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	09/870,142	05/30/2001	Steven B. Smith	13660.17	4558
	21999 KIRTON AND	7590 08/01/200 MCCONKIE	7	EXAMINER	
	60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE,			HARBECK, TIMOTHY M	
	SUITE 1800 SALT LAKE C	CITY, UT 84111		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		,	•	3692	
				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
•				08/01/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Timothy M. Harbeck	3692	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO p	personnel):		
(1) <u>Timothy M. Harbeck</u>	(3) <u>Michael Krieger</u> .		
(2) <u>Adam Stevens</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>09 July 2007</u> .			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	) applicant's representative	e]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.		
Claim(s) discussed: 1.			
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Editors, Claus</u> .			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g	)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N	N/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendal allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no coallowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW CONTINUED THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW OF THE SUBSTANCE	ments which the examiner agopy of the amendments that vol.)  CTION MUST INCLUDE THE last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRT'S ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM,	reed would rendo vould render the SUBSTANCE O been filed, APP Y DAYS FROM T WHICHEVER IS	er the claims claims OF THE LICANT IS THIS LATER, TO
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	 Examiner's sign	ature, if required	

Application No.

09/870,142

Interview Summary

Applicant(s)

SMITH ET AL.

Application No. 09/870,142

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Attorneys and Examiner discussed the preceived differences between the prior art and the present invention. Namely the Attorneys believe that neither reference discloses the step wherein multiple virtual accounts may be overlaid upon one actual financial account. Specifically the Claus reference was discussed. Attorneys believe that Claus separates the budgeting for each 'category' into specific payment mediums (cash, check credit), in its budgeting feature, however is incapable of summing the budgeting for a single category across all mediums. Upon filing of formal remarks, the examiner did however agree that any further examination of the Claus reference should be done with this distinction in mind. It was alos disclosed to the attorney that Examiner Harbeck will not be examining the case, as he is leaving the Office, but nonetheless the conversation is made of record.